

# Influence of source and micronization of soya bean meal on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and ileal mucosal morphology of Iberian piglets

J. D. Berrocoso, L. Cámara, P. G. Rebollar, P. Guzmán and G. G. Mateos

*The effects of inclusion in the diet of different sources of soya bean meal (SBM) on growth performance, total tract apparent digestibility (TTAD) and apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of major dietary components and mucosal ileum morphology were studied in Iberian pigs weaned at 30 days of age. From 30 to 51 days of age (phase I), there was a control diet based on regular soya bean meal (R-SBM; 44% CP) of Argentina (ARG) origin and five extra diets in which a high-protein soya bean meal (HP-SBM; 49% CP) of the USA or ARG origin, either ground (990 µm) or micronized (60 µm), or a soya protein concentrate (SPC; 65% CP) substituted the R-SBM. From 51 to 61 days of age (phase II), all pigs were fed a common commercial diet in mash form. The following pre-planned orthogonal contrasts were conducted: (1) R-SBM v. all the other diets, (2) SPC v. all the HP-SBM diets, (3) micronized HP-SBM v. ground HP-SBM, (4) HP-SBM of ARG origin v. HP-SBM of US origin and (5) interaction between source and the degree of grinding of the HP-SBM. Dietary treatment did not affect growth performance of the pigs at any age but from 30 to 51 days of age, post weaning diarrhoea (PWD) was higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) and the TTAD and AID of all nutrients were lower for pigs fed the R-SBM diet than for pigs fed the HP-SBM or the SPC diets. However, no differences between the HP-SBM and the SPC containing diets were detected for any trait. The TTAD of organic matter ( $P = 0.07$ ) and gross energy (GE) ( $P = 0.05$ ) tended to be higher for the micronized HP-SBM than for the ground HP-SBM and that of GE was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) for US meal than for the ARG meal. Pigs fed R-SBM had lower villus height ( $P < 0.01$ ) than pigs fed HP-SBM or SPC but no differences in ileal mucosal morphology were detected between SPC and HP-SBM containing diets. It is concluded that feeding the HP-SBM or SPC-reduced PWD and improved nutrient digestibility and ileal morphology as compared with feeding the R-SBM, but had no effect on pig performance. The inclusion in the diet of added value soya products (micronized SBM or SPC) in substitution of the R-SBM increased the TTAD of all nutrients and reduced PWD but had no advantage in terms of growth performance over the use of ground HP-SBM.*

**Keywords:** high-protein soya bean meal, pig performance, ileal digestibility, particle size, soya protein concentrate

## Implications

Iberian piglets fed soya protein concentrate (SPC) (65% CP) or high-protein soya bean meal (HP-SBM) (49% CP) showed improved total tract apparent and ileal apparent digestibility of nutrients and reduced incidence of post weaning diarrhoea as compared with pigs fed regular soya bean meal (R-SBM) (44% CP). However, the inclusion of SPC or micronized soya bean meal had little effect on growth performance of the pigs. Consequently, the decision to substitute R-SBM by added value soya products in the diet will depend on the production objectives as well as on the relative cost of available soya products.

## Introduction

Iberian (IB) pig production has experienced continuous increase in economic relevance in Spain and Portugal in the last two decades. IB pigs have lower growth rates and less proportion of lean gain than conventional white pigs and therefore, amino acids (AA) requirements and the need of using high-quality ingredients in the diet might be lower for IB pigs than for conventional white pigs (Barea *et al.*, 2011). Often, IB pigs are crossed with selected lines of Duroc sires, which results in increased nutrient requirements and probably, in higher need for additional added value ingredients in the diet. In all instances, the information available on the nutrient requirements of weanling IB pigs is very limited and frequently, commercial diets for these piglets are based

on nutrient specifications recommended for conventional white piglets.

Because of cost, availability and nutritive value, soya bean meal (SBM) is the most important protein source used in pig diets. However, SBM contains several potential antinutritional factors (ANF) including trypsin inhibitors (TI), saponins, lectins and antigenic proteins that reduce nutrient digestibility and growth performance, limiting its use in diets for young pigs (Valencia *et al.*, 2008a). In particular, glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin are potential antigenic and allergenic proteins that might cause villus atrophy and crypt hyperplasia and reduce the absorptive capacity of the small intestine of the pigs (Li *et al.*, 1990). Soya protein concentrates (SPC) had lower ANF and non-starch polysaccharides content than SBM and therefore, SPC contain more CP, which should be more digestible, than the corresponding meal (Sohn *et al.*, 1994). Consequently, the inclusion of SPC in the diet in substitution of SBM should result in improved intestinal morphology, nutrient utilization and growth performance of weanling pigs.

The effect of particle size of the ingredients of the diet on nutrient digestibility and growth performance of pigs is a subject of debate (Goodband *et al.*, 1995; Lawrence *et al.*, 2003). A reduction in geometric mean diameter facilitates the contact between digesta and endogenous enzymes that may improve nutrient digestibility and feed efficiency. However, fine particles increase the fluidity of the stomach contents (Wondra *et al.*, 1995) and might increase the incidence of digestive disturbances.

Several studies (Frikha *et al.*, 2012; Rebollar *et al.*, 2013) have shown that protein quality and AA digestibility of the SBM varied with the country of origin of the beans. A difference in protein quality might be more important and easy to detect in young pigs rather than in adult pigs because its gastrointestinal tract is less developed. The hypothesis of this research was that the inclusion in the diet of high-protein soya bean meal (HP-SBM; 49% CP) either micronized or ground or SPC, in substitution of regular soya bean meal (R-SBM; 44% CP), could improve nutrient digestibility and growth performance of IB weanling pigs. The aim of this experiment was to evaluate the effects of including in the diet different soya products, varying in CP content, particle size and origin of the beans on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and intestinal morphology of weanling IB pigs.

## Material and methods

### *Husbandry, ingredients and diets*

The experimental procedures described in this research were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Universidad Politécnica de Madrid and were in compliance with the Spanish guidelines for the care and use of animals in research (Boletín Oficial del Estado, 2007).

In total, 216 crossbreed piglets (IB dams and Duroc sires) with  $30 \pm 3$  days of age and  $6.6 \pm 0.15$  kg BW were used in this experiment. At arrival to the experimental station, pigs were weighed and allotted in groups of six, irrespective of

litter, to 36 flat-deck pens ( $1.10 \times 1.60$  m), with all pens having similar average BW and equal number of barrows and gilts. Room temperature was maintained at  $30 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for the first week of the experiment and then, it was reduced by  $\sim 2^\circ\text{C}$  per week until reaching  $22^\circ\text{C}$ . The piglets were kept on a 20 h/day light programme and had free access to water and feed throughout the experiment. Piglets that showed signs of diarrhoea, as assessed by veterinarian inspection, were injected with 250 mg enrofloxacin (Alsir 5%, Esteve Veterinaria, Barcelona, Spain)/kg BW for 3 consecutive days, as recommended by the supplier.

The soya products tested were from the same batches used in a previous research conducted with conventional white pigs (Berrocoso *et al.*, 2013a). The batch of R-SBM (Argentina (ARG) origin) was obtained from a local trader (Interpec, Cádiz, Spain) and had a geometric mean diameter of  $1150 \mu\text{m}$ . Two extra batches of HP-SBM were obtained either from the USA (Carolina Soya, Warsaw, NC, USA) or ARG (Esasa, Valladolid, Spain) and divided into two portions; the first portion was ground with a hammer mill provided with a 2.5 mm screen (Buhler AG, Uzwil, Switzerland) to a geometric mean diameter of  $\sim 990 \mu\text{m}$  and the second portion was micronized to a geometric mean diameter of  $\sim 60 \mu\text{m}$  (Berrocoso *et al.*, 2013a). The SPC was obtained from a local trader and was manufactured by a proprietary process using aqueous alcohol extraction. The process is effective in reducing the ANF, soluble sugars, oligosaccharides and other minor constituents content of defatted and dehulled flakes of HP-SBM. Moreover, glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin, the two most important antigenic storage proteins in raw soya beans, are denatured during the process and their antigenic properties are almost entirely eliminated. The chemical composition, geometric mean diameter and the protein quality of the soya products tested are shown in Table 1.

From day 30 to 51 (phase I) pigs were fed one of six diets that varied in the main source of dietary protein. There was a control diet in which 14.8% of the diet was provided by R-SBM, four diets that included 13.3% HP-SBM that resulted from the combination of two sources of SBM (ARG v. USA) and two grinding of the meals (ground,  $990 \mu\text{m}$  v. micronized,  $60 \mu\text{m}$ ) and a diet with 10% SPC. The soya products tested provided the same amount of CP (6.5%) to all diets. Adjustments in ingredient composition were made to ensure that all diets had similar net energy ( $10.5 \text{ MJ/kg}$ ) and digestible lysine (1.35%) content according to Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal (2010). Other limiting indispensable AA (methionine, tryptophan, threonine and isoleucine) and cysteine were formulated according to the ideal protein concept for piglets as indicated by Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal (2006). Celite (acid-washed diatomaceous earth; Celite Hispánica S.A., Alicante, Spain) was added to all diets (1%) as an additional acid insoluble ash source. No antibiotics or growth promoter additives, other than copper sulphate ( $160 \text{ mg/kg}$ ), were included in these diets. All feeds were offered as 2.5 mm pellets.

The experimental diets had similar ingredient composition and nutrient content and used the same batches of SBM and

other ingredients than those in the experiment of Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) but they were manufactured at different days. Therefore, small differences in nutrient content of these diets as compared with those of Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) were detected. The ingredient composition and the calculated (Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal, 2010) and determined nutrient content of the experimental diets are shown in Table 2. From day 50 to 61 (phase II) all pigs were fed a common commercial diet based on cereals and R-SBM in mash form.

#### *Experimental design and measurements*

The experimental design was completely randomized with six phase I diets that differed in the main source of dietary CP. Each treatment was replicated six times and the experimental unit for all measurements was the pen (six pigs/pen). Pigs were weighed individually and feed intake was measured by pen at day 30, 37, 45, 51 and 61. Feed wastage was collected daily from pans placed beneath the feeders and used to correct average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed to gain ratio (FGR). Mortality was recorded as produced. Average daily gain (ADG), actual ADFI (calculated by difference between feed offered and feed wastage by pen) and FGR were calculated from these data by phase and for the entire experimental period. Post weaning diarrhoea (PWD) was estimated by pen as the proportion of days in which pigs showed clinical signs of diarrhoea with respect to the total number of days on trial (Mateos *et al.*, 2006).

At day 45, representative samples of faeces (300 g) were collected by rectal massage from at least three pigs from each pen. Samples were pooled by replicate, homogenized, frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  and stored until chemical analysis. Total tract apparent digestibility (TTAD) of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), gross energy (GE) and CP were determined as indicated by Medel *et al.* (1999). After the growth performance control, pigs were maintained without feed for 12 h and then, re-fed under *ad libitum* condition for 6 h to achieve feed intake as high and homogeneous as possible. Then, a single intramuscular injection of Imalgene 500 (20 mg Ketamine clorhidrate/kg BW; Merial Laboratories S.A., Lyon, France) plus Xilalgesic (2.2 mg Xylazil-20/kg BW; Calier Laboratories S.A., Barcelona, Spain) was administered to one pig per pen chosen at random, to induce general anaesthesia. Pigs were weighed and slaughtered by exsanguination to reduce blood contamination during the sample collection procedure. Individual samples of digesta (20 g) were collected at the terminal ileum (last 2.0 m of the small intestine), freeze-dried, homogenized using a pestle and a mortar and stored in airtight containers at  $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$  until chemical analyses. The apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of DM, OM, GE and CP were determined as described by Valencia *et al.* (2008b).

In addition, a 5 cm section of the middle part of the ileum was dissected in all these pigs and villus height, measured from the tip of the villus to the villus crypt junction, and crypt depth, defined as the depth of the invagination between adjacent villus, was determined.

#### *Laboratory analysis*

The geometric mean diameter of the coarsely ground SBM and SPC was determined in triplicate as indicated by American Society of Agricultural Engineers (1995). Because this method is not valid for very fine materials, the geometric mean diameter of the micronized SBM was measured using a Sympatec Helos compact KA laser diffraction equipment (Sympatec GmbH, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany) as indicated by Valencia *et al.* (2008b). Laboratory analyses of the SBM, including moisture, CP, AA content, ether extract, crude fibre, NDF, sucrose, oligosaccharides (stachyose and raffinose), trypsin inhibitor activity (TIA), protein dispersibility index, solubility in KOH and reactive and non-reactive Lys were performed as indicated by Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a). Before analysis, faecal samples were thawed overnight, dried at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 48 h and ground using a Retsch (Model Z-I, Stuttgart, Germany) provided with a 1-mm screen. Diets, faeces and ileal contents were analysed for moisture, CP, GE and acid insoluble ash, as previously indicated for the soya products.

Tissue samples were placed into a 10% buffered neutral formaldehyde solution (pH 7.2 to 7.4) and kept on ice. After 24 h immersed in this solution, the samples were carefully cleaned using deionized water. The paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Morphometric indices for each slide were determined using computer-aided light microscope image analysis as described by Bird *et al.* (1994). For each segment, the average measurements of 25 villus and crypts, taken from at least five different sections, were used for further analysis. Slides were viewed at 40X magnification using an Olympus BX-40 light microscope and the image projected by a camera lucida on a digitizer tablet equipped with a mouse-cursor (Soft Imaging System, Olympus GmbH, Hamburg, Germany).

#### *Statistical analysis*

Data on growth performance, TTAD, AID and ileum mucosal morphology were analysed using the General Linear Model Procedure of SAS (Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, 1990) as a completely randomized design with type of diet as main effect and with the individual pen representing the experimental unit for all measurements. Normal distribution of the residuals and variance homogeneity of the data were tested by the Univariate procedure and the Levene's Test, respectively. Pre-planned comparisons among treatment means were made using the following orthogonal contrasts: (1) R-SBM v. all the other diets, (2) SPC v. all the HP-SBM diets, (3) micronized HP-SBM v. ground HP-SBM, (4) HP-SBM of ARG origin v. HP-SBM of US origin and (5) interaction between source and the degree of grinding of the HP-SBM. No interactions between source and degree of grinding of the HP-SBM were detected for any of the traits studied and therefore, only main effects are discussed. Because the data on PWD were not normally distributed, the CATMOD procedure of SAS was used for statistical analysis. All differences were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$  and  $P$ -values between 0.05 and 0.10 were considered a trend.

## Results

Details on the composition of the soya products and diets used in this research have been reported elsewhere (Berrocso *et al.*, 2013a) and therefore, only values for key chemical analyses are presented in this report (Tables 1 and 2, respectively).

### Growth performance and incidence of PWD

Mortality was 2.4% and was not related to treatment (data not shown). Similarly, average feed wastage (5.4 g/pig per day from 30 to 51 days of age and 1.7 g/pig per day from 51 to 61 days of age) was not affected by treatment (data not shown). In phase I (day 30 to 51), diet did not affect growth performance of the pigs but PWD was lower (6.4 v. 10.6%;  $P < 0.001$ ) in pigs fed the HP-SBM and SPC diets than in pigs fed the R-SBM diet (Table 3). No differences in PWD were detected between pigs fed HP-SBM and pigs fed SPC. From day 37 to 44, ADFI tended to be lower (522 v. 544 g;  $P = 0.07$ ) for pigs fed the SPC containing diet than the average of pigs fed the four HP-SBM containing diets, and PWD was lower for pigs fed the HP-SBM or the SPC diets than for pigs fed R-SBM diet (6.5% v. 11.7%;  $P < 0.05$ ). Also, from day 45 to 51, PWD tended to be lower for pigs fed the HP-SBM or the SPC diets than for pigs fed R-SBM diet (3.3% v. 7.2%;  $P = 0.05$ ). In phase II (day 51 to 61), when all

the pigs were fed a common commercial diet, previous dietary treatment did not affect growth performance or PWD of the pigs.

### TTAD and AID of nutrients

Source of SBM affected nutrient digestibility with the lowest values observed for the R-SBM containing diet (Table 4). The TTAD of OM (85.9% v. 83.7%;  $P < 0.001$ ), DM (83.3% v. 81.2%;  $P < 0.001$ ), GE (84.7% v. 81.7%;  $P < 0.001$ ) and CP (80.2% v. 76.0%;  $P < 0.001$ ) were higher for pigs fed the average of the four HP-SBM and SPC diets than for pigs fed the R-SBM diet. Also, the TTAD of OM ( $P = 0.07$ ) and GE ( $P = 0.06$ ) tended to be higher for pigs fed micronized HP-SBM than for pigs fed ground HP-SBM. Source of HP-SBM had no effects on the TTAD of nutrients except for GE that was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) for US meal than for the ARG meal. No differences between HP-SBM and SPC containing diets were detected for any of traits studied.

The AID of OM (81.0% v. 79.2%;  $P < 0.05$ ), DM (78.0% v. 76.0%;  $P < 0.05$ ), GE (81.7% v. 80.2%;  $P < 0.05$ ) and CP (78.6% v. 75.6%;  $P < 0.05$ ) were higher for pigs fed the average of the four HP-SBM and SPC diets than for pigs fed the R-SBM diet (Table 4). However, as compared with the average of the four HP-SBM diets, SPC inclusion did not affect AID of any of the nutrients studied. Neither

**Table 1** Chemical composition (% as-fed basis unless otherwise indicated), CP quality and particle size of the SBMs and the SPC

	R-SBM (ARG)	HP-SBM (ARG)		HP-SBM (USA) <sup>1</sup>		SPC
		Micronized	Ground	Micronized	Ground	
Determined analysis <sup>2</sup>						
Dry matter	90.5	93.2	89.8	93.0	91.1	93.0
CP	43.7	51.4	49.0	51.6	49.3	64.0
Ether extract	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.0
Crude fibre	6.4	5.4	4.9	4.6	4.9	3.9
NDF	13.5	9.9	10.0	8.2	8.1	8.5
Amino acids						
Lysine	2.75	3.10	2.97	3.14	2.99	4.03
Methionine	0.62	0.68	0.65	0.70	0.66	0.92
Methionine + cysteine	1.31	1.44	1.42	1.47	1.41	1.86
Threonine	1.78	2.00	1.91	2.02	1.92	2.54
Tryptophan	0.62	0.69	0.67	0.70	0.67	0.78
Sucrose	5.4	6.9	6.8	6.3	6.1	0.6
Raffinose	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.1	0.6
Stachyose	4.69	5.20	5.03	6.10	5.90	0.04
CP quality						
TIA (mg/g)	1.8	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.7	1.1
PDI (%)	12.4	20.6	14.7	19.8	16.2	6.9
KOH solubility (%)	81.0	83.5	83.9	82.2	81.2	66.5
Reactive lysine (%) <sup>3</sup>	89.4	88.9	88.1	88.4	89.4	90.0
Non-reactive lysine (%) <sup>3</sup>	8.4	8.2	8.1	8.4	8.5	6.2
Particle size						
GMD $\pm$ s.d. ( $\mu$ m)	1.150 $\pm$ 2	64 $\pm$ 1	998 $\pm$ 3	56 $\pm$ 2	982 $\pm$ 2	501 $\pm$ 2

R-SBM = regular soya bean meal (44% CP) from ARG; ARG = Argentina; HP-SBM = high-protein SBM (49% CP) from ARG; SPC = soya protein concentrate (65% CP); TIA = trypsin inhibitor activity; PDI = protein dispersibility index; GMD  $\pm$  s.d. = Geometric mean diameter  $\pm$  log standard deviation.

<sup>1</sup>High-protein SBM (49% CP) from the USA.

<sup>2</sup>In duplicate.

<sup>3</sup>As a percentage of total lysine.

**Table 2** *Ingredient composition and calculated and determined analysis (% as-fed basis unless otherwise indicated) of the experimental diets*

Ingredient	30 to 51 days				51 to 61 days
	R-SBM (ARG)	HP-SBM (ARG)	HP-SBM (USA) <sup>1</sup>	SPC	
Barley	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	22.7
Maize	19.0	21.1	21.1	24.7	13.0
Wheat	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	34.0
Soya bean meal (44% CP)	14.8	—	—	—	21.6
Soya bean meal (49% CP)	—	13.3	13.3	—	—
SPC (65% CP)	—	—	—	10.0	—
Fishmeal (68% CP)	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	1.8
Dried whey	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	—
Soya bean oil	3.73	3.18	3.18	2.76	3.51
Calcium carbonate	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.57
Monocalcium phosphate (22.6% P)	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.65
Sodium chloride	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.40
D <sub>L</sub> -Methionine (99%)	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15
L-Lysine-HCl (78%)	0.42	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.56
L-Threonine (99%)	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.13
L-Tryptophan (98%)	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08
Celite <sup>2</sup>	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	—
Lupro-Cid <sup>3</sup>	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Vitamin and mineral premix <sup>4</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Calculated analysis <sup>5</sup>					
Net energy (MJ/kg)	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.3
CP	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	18.8
SID of AA					
Lysine	1.35	1.36	1.36	1.36	1.21
Methionine	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.40
Methionine + cysteine	0.60	0.61	0.61	0.62	0.51
Threonine	0.83	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.67
Tryptophan	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
NDF	7.9	7.1	7.1	7.4	11.4
Calcium	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.50
Digestible phosphorus	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.36
Determined analysis <sup>6</sup>					
Dry matter	91.0	90.5	90.6	91.0	90.0
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	17.1	17.1	17.0	17.1	16.8
Total ash	6.1	6.0	6.1	6.0	4.8
CP	21.0	20.9	20.7	20.7	18.7
Total amino acids <sup>7</sup>					
Lysine	1.41	1.39	1.42	1.40	—
Methionine	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.55	—
Methionine + cysteine	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.88	—
Threonine	0.97	0.96	0.98	0.96	—
Tryptophan	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.30	—

R-SBM = regular soya bean meal (44% CP) from ARG; ARG = Argentina; HP-SBM = high-protein soya bean meal (49% CP) from ARG; SPC = soya protein concentrate (65% CP); SID = standardized ileal digestibility.

Data correspond to the ground diets.

<sup>1</sup>High-protein soya bean meal (49% CP) from the USA.

<sup>2</sup>Acid-washed diatomaceous earth (Celite Hispánica S.A.).

<sup>3</sup>Mixture of propionic (25%) and formic acid (64%) (BASF Española, Tarragona, Spain).

<sup>4</sup>Provided the following (per kilogram of diet): vitamin A (trans-retinyl acetate), 12 000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub> (cholecalciferol), 1900 IU; vitamin E (all-rac-tocopherol-acetate), 30 IU; vitamin B<sub>1</sub> (thiamine-mononitrate), 1.8 mg; riboflavin, 5 mg; niacin, 25 g; pyridoxine (pyridoxine HCl), 2.4 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (cyanocobalamin), 0.03 mg; vitamin K<sub>3</sub> (bisulphate menadione complex), 1.8 mg; pantothenic acid (d-Capantothenate), 15 mg; folic acid, 0.6 mg; d-biotin, 0.1 mg; Se (Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), 0.2 mg; I (KI), 1 mg; Cu (CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O), 160 mg; Fe (FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), 225 mg; Mn (MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O), 100 mg; and Zn (ZnO), 120 mg.

<sup>5</sup>According to Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal (2010).

<sup>6</sup>In duplicate.

<sup>7</sup>The amino acid content of the diets presented corresponds to data obtained in previous research for diets with same ingredient composition (Berrocoso *et al.*, 2013a).

**Table 3** Effect of inclusion of different sources of soya bean meal (SBM) and soya protein concentrate (SPC) in the diet on growth performance and post-weaning diarrhoea (PWD, %) of pigs from 30 to 61 days of age

		HP-SBM processing		HP-SBM source				Significance of contrast <sup>1</sup>			
	R-SBM (ARG)	Micronized	Ground	ARG <sup>2</sup>	USA <sup>3</sup>	SPC	s.e.m. <sup>4</sup>	1	2	3	4
30 to 36 days											
ADG (g)	338	324	348	332	341	335	20	0.89	0.79	0.21	0.59
ADFI (g)	314	312	311	321	303	311	15	0.86	0.81	0.86	0.17
FGR	0.93	0.96	0.89	0.97	0.89	0.93	0.06	0.54	0.45	0.29	0.12
PWD <sup>5</sup>	11.5	8.3	9.5	8.1	9.7	7.5		0.39	0.30	0.61	0.64
37 to 44 days											
ADG (g)	372	378	382	374	386	365	11	0.65	0.35	0.97	0.96
ADFI (g)	542	543	545	544	544	522	17	0.67	0.07	0.63	0.66
FGR	1.46	1.44	1.43	1.45	1.41	1.45	0.04	0.82	0.77	0.54	0.70
PWD	11.7	7.1	5.9	6.2	6.8	6.5		0.04	0.45	0.40	0.62
45 to 51 days											
ADG (g)	508	502	506	509	500	475	17	0.43	0.37	0.74	0.46
ADFI (g)	791	783	789	784	776	750	27	0.52	0.48	0.88	0.58
FGR	1.56	1.56	1.56	1.54	1.55	1.57	0.05	0.98	0.97	0.89	0.88
PWD	7.2	4.4	2.8	3.3	3.9	2.2		0.05	0.46	0.51	0.72
30 to 51 days											
ADG (g)	404	400	411	404	408	390	9	0.45	0.29	0.30	0.97
ADFI (g)	549	546	544	549	541	528	12	0.44	0.42	0.69	0.59
FGR	1.36	1.36	1.33	1.36	1.33	1.35	0.02	0.44	0.23	0.53	0.49
PWD	10.6	6.9	6.3	6.2	7.1	5.8		<0.001	0.45	0.53	0.30
51 to 61 days <sup>6</sup>											
ADG (g)	515	511	508	516	503	486	24.9	0.71	0.85	0.88	0.47
ADFI (g)	890	880	893	910	872	861	31.6	0.42	0.70	0.97	0.24
FGR	1.73	1.72	1.75	1.76	1.73	1.77	0.0449	0.46	0.75	0.66	0.55
PWD	6.0	3.3	4.2	3.7	3.8	6.0		0.20	0.15	0.52	0.98

R-SBM = regular SBM (44% CP) from ARG; ARG = Argentina; HP-SBM = high-protein SBM (49% CP); ADG = average daily gain; ADFI = average daily feed intake; FGR = feed to gain ratio.

Values correspond to actual feed intake (feed disappearance minus feed wastage).

<sup>1</sup>1 = R-SBM v. all the other diets; 2 = SPC v. average of all the HP-SBM containing diets; 3 = micronized HP-SBM v. ground HP-SBM and 4 = HP-SBM of ARG origin v. HP-SBM of US origin. The interaction between SBM source and the degree of grinding of the HP-SBM was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ).

<sup>2</sup>High-protein SBM (49% CP) from ARG.

<sup>3</sup>High-protein SBM (49% CP) from the USA.

<sup>4</sup>Pooled s.e.m. (six pens per treatment of six pigs each).

<sup>5</sup>Percentage of days in which piglets had symptoms of diarrhoea with respect to total number of days in experiment (Mateos *et al.*, 2006).

<sup>6</sup>All pigs received in this phase a common phase II diet in mash form.

micronization nor source of HP-SBM affected the AID of any of the nutrients studied.

#### *Ileum mucosal morphology*

Villus height (419 v. 370  $\mu\text{m}$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) and villus height to crypt depth ratio (1.68 v. 1.47  $\mu\text{m}$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) were higher in pigs fed the HP-SBM and SPC diets than in pigs fed the R-SBM diet but no differences were detected between HP-SBM and SPC diets. Neither source nor micronization of the HP-SBM affected ileum mucosal morphology.

## Discussion

### *Effect of CP content of the SBM (regular v. high protein)*

Growth performance of the pigs was not affected by CP content of the SBM at any time. The authors have not found any report comparing SBM with different CP content on growth performance of IB pigs but similar data have been

reported by Berrocso *et al.* (2013a) in conventional white pigs. In contrast, De Coca-Sinova *et al.* (2010) observed better growth performance in broilers fed HP-SBM than in broilers fed R-SBM. In the current experiment, diets were formulated based on determined rather than on calculated CP values of the soya products, which might have reduced the potential advantages of HP-SBM over R-SBM on piglet performance. Also, protein quality of the R-SBM and the HP-SBM, as assessed by KOH solubility, TIA activity and reactive Lys values, were optimal for all the meals, reducing the potential differences among the SBM tested.

The TTAD and AID of CP and all other nutrients were higher for the HP-SBM than for the R-SBM, consistent with the lower fibre content of the HP-SBM and in agreement with data reported by Baker and Stein (2009) and Berrocso *et al.* (2013a) in conventional white pigs. Similarly, De Coca-Sinova *et al.* (2008) reported in broilers a negative relation between crude fibre and AA digestibility for most

**Table 4** Effect of inclusion of different sources of soya bean meal (SBM) and soya protein concentrate (SPC) on the total tract apparent (TTAD, %) and apparent ileal digestibility (AID, %) of nutrients and ileum mucosal morphology at 45 days of age

		HP-SBM processing		HP-SBM source				Significance of contrast <sup>1</sup>			
	R-SBM (ARG)	Micronized	Ground	ARG <sup>2</sup>	USA <sup>3</sup>	SPC	s.e.m. <sup>4</sup>	1	2	3	4
TTAD											
Organic matter	83.7	86.4	85.7	86.0	86.1	85.6	0.36	<0.001	0.24	0.07	0.83
Dry matter	81.2	83.8	83.2	83.5	83.5	82.8	0.37	<0.001	0.26	0.13	0.95
Gross energy	81.7	85.2	84.3	84.3	85.2	84.9	0.43	<0.001	0.12	0.06	0.04
CP	76.0	80.2	79.7	79.8	80.1	81.3	0.83	<0.001	0.71	0.51	0.66
AID											
Organic matter	79.2	81.3	80.7	80.7	81.3	81.0	0.73	0.03	0.95	0.18	0.97
Dry matter	76.0	77.9	78.1	78.1	77.9	77.9	0.72	0.02	0.65	0.20	0.78
Gross energy	80.2	81.5	81.8	81.3	82.0	81.9	0.77	0.04	0.79	0.48	0.44
CP	75.6	78.6	78.5	78.6	78.5	79.1	0.91	0.03	0.89	0.49	0.78
Ileum mucosal morphology											
Villus height (µm)	370	417	421	418	420	422	13.1	0.001	0.84	0.70	0.89
Crypt depth (µm)	251	248	249	246	251	250	11.4	0.82	0.93	0.93	0.71
VH : CD <sup>5</sup>	1.47	1.68	1.69	1.70	1.67	1.69	0.0507	<0.001	0.87	0.97	0.96

R-SBM = regular SBM (44% CP) from ARG; ARG = Argentina; HP-SBM = high-protein SBM (49% CP).

<sup>1</sup>1 = R-SBM v. all the other diets; 2 = SPC v. average of all the HP-SBM containing diets; 3 = micronized HP-SBM v. ground HP-SBM and 4 = HP-SBM of ARG origin v. HP-SBM of US origin. The interaction between SBM source and the degree of grinding of the HP-SBM was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ).

<sup>2</sup>High-protein SBM (49% CP) from ARG.

<sup>3</sup>High-protein SBM (49% CP) from the USA.

<sup>4</sup>Pooled s.e.m. (six pens per treatment of six pigs each).

<sup>5</sup>Villus height to crypt depth ratio.

indispensable AA, and a positive relation between CP content and CP digestibility in SBM. Moreover, Frikha *et al.* (2012) reported a positive relation between CP digestibility and CP content of SBM in 21-day-old broilers fed 22 different batches of SBM. De Coca-Sinova *et al.* (2010) observed higher DM digestibility of diets based on HP-SBM than in isonutritive diets based on R-SBM. The PWD was lower for pigs fed HP-SBM than for pigs fed R-SBM, and a reduction in the incidence of PWD may result in an increase in nutrient digestibility (Berrocoso *et al.*, 2013b), consistent with the results reported herein.

As compared with R-SBM, the inclusion of HP-SBM in the diet increased villus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio. Zarkadas and Wiseman (2005) reported a negative relation between TIA content of the diet and villus height in weaned pigs. In the current research, however, TIA contents of the HP-SBM and R-SBM were low, and therefore, no changes in mucosal morphology were expected because of TIA content of the meals. Consequently, it is likely that factors others than TIA, such as glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin content of the SBM might be responsible for the differences in villus height observed, but glycinin- $\alpha$ - $\beta$ -conglycinin contents of the soya products tested were not determined in this research. In this respect, the presence of antigenic protein in SBM had a negative impact on small intestinal morphology of weaned pigs (Li *et al.*, 1990). Lallés *et al.* (1996) reported in calves that  $\beta$ -conglycinin was the best predictor of digestibility of the protein fraction of SBM. They also indicated that TI become the best predictor only when the level of  $\beta$ -conglycinin in the meals was not detectable. Therefore,

differences in glycinin- $\alpha$ - $\beta$ -conglycinin content between of the R-SBM and HP-SBM might explain, at least in part, the differences in villus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio observed. Also, Nabuurs *et al.* (1993) reported that PWD caused a reduction in villus height and an increase in crypt depth, results that are consistent with the data of the current research showing higher incidence of PWD and lower villus height in pigs fed the R-SBM than in pigs fed the HP-SBM.

#### Effects of SPC

In the current experiment, pig performance was not improved by the inclusion of SPC in the diet in substitution of the SBM. The authors have not found any published report comparing the effects of diets based on SPC and SBM on growth performance and nutrient digestibility in IB pigs. In conventional white pigs, most available data favors the inclusion of SPC in the diet at the expense of SBM (Sohn *et al.*, 1994), probably because of the lower ANF content of SPC. Also, Yang *et al.* (2007) reported that the inclusion of 8% SPC in the diet, in substitution of similar amounts of HP-SBM, improved growth performance of pigs from 23 to 58 days of age. In contrast, Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) with diets similar to those used in the current research, did not detect any improvement in growth performance of conventional white pigs when SPC was included in the diet at the expense of HP-SBM. Similar results have been reported by Lenehan *et al.* (2007) in pigs from 18 to 46 days of age. The reasons for the inconsistencies among researches with respect to the benefits of including SPC in the diet on growth performance of the piglets are not known.

Differences in the manufacturing process as well as level of inclusion of SPC, could explain part of the differences observed.

Under practical feeding conditions, the recommended level of inclusion of SPC in piglet diets ranges from 3% to 6% (Berrocoso *et al.*, 2013b), lower than the 10% used in the current research. Lenehan *et al.* (2007) reported that pigs showed a preference for a diet containing 40% HP-SBM as compared with a diet containing 28% SPC. Also, Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) reported an 8.6% reduction in ADFI from 27 to 35 days of age in pigs fed a diet with 10% SPC as compared with pigs fed a diet with 13.3% micronized HP-SBM. In the current research, pigs fed the SPC containing diet tended to eat 6.0% less feed from 37 to 44 days of age than pigs fed the average of the five SBM containing diets. These data suggest that high levels of dietary SPC might reduce palatability and feed intake in young pigs. Also, the SBM used in the current experiment contained 5.4% to 6.9% sucrose, whereas no sucrose was detected in the SPC, a finding that might help to explain the lower palatability and voluntary consumption of the SPC diet by young pigs.

In the current research, the TTAD and AID of CP were higher for pigs fed SPC than for pigs fed R-SBM, whereas no differences were detected between pigs fed HP-SBM and SPC. Yang *et al.* (2007) using semi-purified diets observed that the AID of most indispensable AA were higher in pigs fed diets based on SPC than in pigs fed diets based on HP-SBM. NDF was lower in pigs fed SPC than in pigs fed R-SBM and a reduction in dietary NDF might increase CP digestibility of SBM. Moreover, SPC contained less TIA than SBM and therefore, N digestibility should increase when SPC is included in the diet at the expense of SBM. In contrast, Dilger *et al.* (2004) were unable to detect any difference in the AID of CP or indispensable AA of SPC and HP-SBM in growing pigs, consistent with the data reported herein. Therefore, the advantages of including SPC in piglet diets in substitution of SBM might depend on the quality of the soya products used.

In the current experiment, the TTAD and AID of OM, DM and GE were similar for the SPC and HP-SBM containing diets but higher for both than for the R-SBM containing diets, in agreement with data of Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) for TTAD in conventional white piglets. Nutrient digestibility was expected to be higher in pigs fed SPC than in pigs fed SBM because of its lower content in indigestible complex carbohydrates, TI and allergenic proteins. In fact, Yang *et al.* (2007) reported improved TTAD of all nutrients when SPC was included in the diet at expense of SBM. However, in the current experiment ileal mucosal morphology was not affected by type of soya product used, a finding that was consistent with the absence of differences in GE and OM digestibilities among diets reported.

Miller *et al.* (1994) suggested that the morphological changes observed in the small intestine of weaned pigs fed soya diets were due to transient hypersensitivity to its antigenic components. Moreover, Dunsford *et al.* (1989) indicated that the TI and antigenic proteins present in the

SBM had an adverse effect on mucosa morphology, decreasing the capacity of the small intestine to absorb nutrients. In the current research, except for crypt depth that was not affected the morphological characteristics of the ileum mucosal were better for pigs fed SPC or HP-SBM, than for pigs fed R-SBM. Li *et al.* (1990) reported that inclusion in the diet of SPC at expense of SBM increased villus height, a finding that was consistent with the higher presence in SBM of the antigenic proteins glycinin and  $\beta$ -conglycinin. In contrast, Yang *et al.* (2007) did not detect any effect on villus height when SPC was included in the diet at the expense of HP-SBM. The reason of the discrepancy among authors in respect to the effects of different dietary soya products on ileal villus morphology is not known. Vente-Spreuwenberg *et al.* (2004) reported that villus height and crypt depth were positively related to feed intake of the pigs. In this respect, Pluske *et al.* (1996) observed that underfed pigs showed villus atrophy and increased crypt depth as compared with control pigs. In the current experiment, pigs fed SPC tended to eat less feed during the period before AID of nutrient determination than the average of pigs fed the HP-SBM containing diets, and a reduction in feed intake might have counteracted the beneficial effects of including SPC in the diet at the expense of SBM on ileum morphology.

#### *Effect of particle size of SBM*

The effect of the geometric mean diameter of the diet on growth performance of the pigs is a subject of debate. Most studies conducted with cereals have reported a positive relation between particle size and feed efficiency (Goodband *et al.*, 1995). In fact, a geometric mean diameter of <600  $\mu\text{m}$  has been recommended for cereals to be included in piglet diets (Goodband *et al.*, 1995). However, in the current research growth performance was not affected by particle size of the HP-SBM, results that agree with data of Lawrence *et al.* (2003) comparing SBM with geometric mean diameter of 965, 742 and 639  $\mu\text{m}$  in pigs from 35 to 49 days of age. Moreover, Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) did not detect any beneficial effect of reducing the geometric mean diameter of the SBM from 990 to 60  $\mu\text{m}$  in conventional white pigs from 28 to 49 days of age.

The TTAD of OM and GE tended to be higher for the micronized HP-SBM than for the ground HP-SBM. Similarly, Wondra *et al.* (1995) reported an increase in GE digestibility in finishing pigs when the geometric mean diameter of maize was reduced from 1200 to 400  $\mu\text{m}$ . A reduction in feed particle size facilitates the contact between nutrients and endogenous enzymes and consequently, fine grinding should improve energy digestibility. However, the TTAD of CP was not affected by the geometric mean diameter of the SBM, a finding that was consistent with data of Valencia *et al.* (2008b) and Berrocoso *et al.* (2013a) comparing SBM with a geometric mean diameter of 880 and 40  $\mu\text{m}$  and of 990 and 60  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively in conventional white piglets. In general, the benefits of fine grinding of dietary ingredients on nutrient digestibility are more relevant in studies conducted with cereals than in studies conducted with SBM. The available



data suggest that fine grinding might be more beneficial for energy than for CP digestibility. In this respect, higher percentages of cereals than of SBM are generally included in pig diets and therefore, a higher impact of fine grinding should be expected for cereals (energy sources) than for SBM (protein source).

In the current research, the AID of nutrients was not affected by micronization of the HP-SBM. In contrast, Fastinger and Mahan (2003) observed a lineal increase in the AID of most limiting indispensable AA as the geometric mean diameter of the SBM was reduced from 900 to 150  $\mu\text{m}$ . A reduction in the particle size of the digesta might improve nutrient digestibility because of better mixing of dietary components and enzymes. On the other hand, a reduction in particle size, might also affect the motility and function of the mucosa of the gastrointestinal tract and the general health status of the pig (Mikkelsen *et al.*, 2004; Hedemann *et al.*, 2005). Consequently, both effects, better mixing of the digesta and enzymes and impaired function of the gastrointestinal tract, might counteract each other, and the magnitude of the observed response will vary among experiments (Mateos *et al.*, 2012).

The morphology of the ileal mucosa was not modified by micronization of the HP-SBM, in agreement with data of Hedemann *et al.* (2005) who did not find any difference in villus height or crypt depth between diets with a geometric mean diameter of 3500 or 250  $\mu\text{m}$ . In contrast, Brunsgaard (1998) reported an increase in the height and volume of the crypts when the geometric mean diameter of the wheat or barley used was reduced from 4500 to 3000  $\mu\text{m}$ . The reasons for the discrepancies among authors in respect to the effects of particle size of the ingredients of the diet on the morphology of the ileum mucosa are not known but might be related to the age of the pigs, the range in size and the uniformity of feed particles, and the ingredient studied (protein sources *v.* energy sources) as well as on the region of the gastrointestinal tract considered. For example, in the current experiment and in that of Hedemann *et al.* (2005), villus morphology was measured in ileum, whereas in the research of Brunsgaard (1998) the villi were measured in caecum and colon. Also, the experimental pigs were younger (12 *v.* 33 kg BW) in the current research than in that of Brunsgaard (1998).

#### *Effect of source of HP-SBM*

Source of HP-SBM did not affect growth performance, nutrient digestibility or ileum mucosa morphology or of the pigs, except for GE digestibility that was higher for the USA than for the ARG SBM. Processing (De Coca-Sinova *et al.*, 2008) and chemical composition of the original beans (Dilger *et al.*, 2004) affect the response of pigs to the inclusion of SBM in the diet. Frikha *et al.* (2012) and Rebollar *et al.* (2013) reported that SBM from US beans had more CP and sucrose, less NDF and higher KOH solubility and protein dispersibility index values than SBM from ARG. Consequently, country of origin of the original beans might affect the energy content and protein quality of the SBM. In the current study, the differences in chemical composition and CP quality traits

between the two sources of HP-SBM used were small and therefore, little differences in digestibility among the meals were expected.

## Conclusion

The substitution of R-SBM by HP-SBM or SPC improved nutrient digestibility and ileal morphology of the IB piglets but no differences on growth performance were detected. The PWD index was lower in pigs fed HP-SBM or SPC than in pigs fed R-SBM, a finding that might be more relevant in pigs reared under commercial conditions than in pigs reared under experimental conditions. The use of added value soya products such as micronized SBM or SPC in substitution of HP-SBM in diets for healthy IB pigs weaned at 30 days of age might not be justified under all circumstances. However, the utilization of HP-SBM or SPC, in the diet at the expense of R-SBM is recommended, because of the improvement of ileal mucosal morphology and nutrient digestibility and the reduction in PWD observed. The results of the current research add new information and will expand the database on the effects of the chemical characteristics and origin of the soya beans on the AID of CP and other dietary components of SPC and commercial SBM.

## Acknowledgement

Financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (AGL2011-27004) is acknowledged. Additional support for L. Cámara was provided by a PICATA fellowship (Moncloa Campus of International Excellence, UCM-UPM, 28040 Madrid, Spain).

## References

- American Society of Agricultural Engineers 1995. Method of determining and expressing fineness of feed materials by sieving. In *Agricultural Engineers year book of standards*. ASAE Standard S319.2, pp. 461–462. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St Joseph, MO, USA.
- Baker KM and Stein HH 2009. Amino acid digestibility and concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy in soybean meal produced from conventional, high-protein, or low-oligosaccharide varieties of soybeans and fed to growing pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 109, 2282–2290.
- Barea R, Nieto R, Vitari F, Domeneghini C and Aguilera JF 2011. Effects of pig genotype (Iberian *vs.* Landrace  $\times$  Large White) on nutrient digestibility, relative organ weight and small intestine structure at two stages of growth. *Animal* 5, 547–557.
- Berrocó JD, Serrano MP, Cámara L, López A and Mateos GG 2013a. Influence of source and micronization of soybean meal on nutrient digestibility and growth performance of weanling pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 117, 309–317.
- Berrocó JD, Saldaña B, Serrano MP, Cámara L, Ibáñez MA and Mateos GG 2013b. Influence of crude protein content, ingredient complexity, feed form, and duration of feeding of the phase I diets on productive performance and nutrient digestibility of Iberian pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 117, 1237–1246.
- Bird AR, Croom WJ, Fan YK, Daniel L, Black BL, McBride BW, Eisen EJ, Bull LS and Taylor IL 1994. Jejunal glucose absorption is enhanced by epidermal growth factor in mice. *Journal of Nutrition* 124, 231–240.
- Boletín Oficial del Estado 2007. Ley 32/2007 de 7 de Noviembre para el cuidado de los animales, en su explotación, transporte, experimentación y sacrificio. BOE 268, 45914–45920.
- Brunsgaard G 1998. Effects of cereal type and feed particle size on morphological characteristics, epithelial cell proliferation, and lectin binding patterns in the large intestine of pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 86, 2787–2798.

- De Coca-Sinova A, Valencia DG, Jiménez-Moreno E, González-Alvarado JM, Lázaro R and Mateos GG 2008. Apparent ileal digestibility of nitrogen, amino acids, and energy of soybean meals from different origins in broilers. *Poultry Science* 87, 2613–2623.
- De Coca-Sinova A, Jiménez-Moreno E, González-Alvarado JM, Frikha M, Lázaro R and Mateos GG 2010. Influence of source of soybean meal and lysine content of the diet on performance and total tract apparent retention of nutrients in broilers from 1 to 36 days of age. *Poultry Science* 89, 1440–1450.
- Dilger RN, Sands JS, Ragland D and Adeola O 2004. Digestibility of nitrogen and amino acids in soybean meal with added soyhulls. *Journal of Animal Science* 82, 715–724.
- Dunsford BR, Knabe DA and Haensly WE 1989. Effect of dietary soybean meal on the microscopic anatomy of the small intestine in the early-weaned pig. *Journal of Animal Science* 67, 1855–1863.
- Fastinger ND and Mahan DC 2003. Effect of soybean meal particle size on amino acid and energy digestibility in grower-finisher swine. *Journal of Animal Science* 81, 697–704.
- Frikha M, Serrano MP, Valencia DG, Rebollar PG, Fickler J and Mateos GG 2012. Correlation between ileal digestibility of amino acids and chemical composition of soybean meals in broilers at 21 days of age. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 178, 103–114.
- Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal 2006. Necesidades Nutricionales para Ganado Porcino. FEDNA, Madrid, Spain.
- Fundación Española Desarrollo Nutrición Animal 2010. Normas FEDNA para la Formulación de Piensos Compuestos. FEDNA, Madrid, Spain.
- Goodband RD, Tokach MD and Nelssen JL 1995. The effects of diet particle size on animal performance. MF-2050. Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA.
- Hedemann MS, Mikkelsen ML, Naughton PJ and Jensen BB 2005. Effect of feed particle size and feed processing on morphological characteristics in the small and large intestine of pigs and on adhesion of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium DT12 in the ileum in vitro. *Journal of Animal Science* 83, 1554–1562.
- Lallés JP, Tukur HM, Toullec R and Miller BG 1996. Analytical criteria for predicting apparent digestibility of soybean protein in preruminant calves. *Journal of Dairy Science* 79, 475–482.
- Lawrence KR, Hastad CW, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Dritz SS, Nelssen JL, DeRouchey JM and Webster MJ 2003. Effects of soybean meal particle size on growth performance of nursery pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 81, 2118–2122.
- Lenahan NA, De Rouchey JM, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Dritz SS, Nelssen JL, Groesbeck CN and Lawrence KR 2007. Evaluation of soy protein concentrate in nursery pig diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 85, 3013–3021.
- Li DF, Nelssen JL, Reddy PG, Blecha F, Hancock JD, Allee GL, Goodband RD and Klemm D 1990. Transient hypersensitivity to soybean meal in the early-weaned pig. *Journal of Animal Science* 68, 1790–1799.
- Mateos GG, Jiménez-Moreno E, Serrano MP and Lázaro RP 2012. Poultry response to high levels of dietary fiber sources varying in physical and chemical characteristics. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 21, 156–174.
- Mateos GG, Martín F, Latorre MA, Vicente B and Lázaro RP 2006. Inclusion of oat hulls in diets for young pigs based on cooked maize or cooked rice. *Animal Science* 82, 57–63.
- Medel P, Salado S, De Blas JC and Mateos GG 1999. Processed cereals in diets for early-weaned piglets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 82, 145–156.
- Mikkelsen LL, Naughton PJ, Hedemann MS and Jensen BB 2004. Effects of physical properties of feed on microbial ecology and survival of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium in the pig gastrointestinal tract. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 70, 3485–3492.
- Miller BG, Bailey M, Telemo E and Stokes CR 1994. Hypersensitivity to soybean protein in early weaned pigs. In Proceedings toxic factors in crop plants, spring conference (ed. JPF D'Mello and CM Duffus), pp. 86–94. Scottish Agricultural College, Edinburgh, UK.
- Nabuurs MJ, Hoogendoorn A, Van der Molen EJ and Van Osta AL 1993. Villus height and crypt depth in weaned and unweaned pigs, reared under various circumstances in the Netherlands. *Research in Veterinary Science* 55, 78–84.
- Pluske JR, Williams IH and Aherne FX 1996. Villous height and crypt depth in piglets in response to increases in the intake of cow's milk after weaning. *Animal Science* 62, 145–158.
- Rebollar PG, De Blas C, Lázaro R, Saldaña B and Mateos GG 2013. Nutritive value and protein quality of soybean meals according to origin and crop year. *Poultry Science* 92 (suppl. 1), 58741 (Abstract).
- Sohn KS, Maxwell CV, Buchanan DS and Southern LL 1994. Improved soybean protein sources for weanling pigs: I. Effects on performance and total tract amino acid digestibility. *Journal of Animal Science* 72, 622–630.
- Statistical Analysis Systems Institute 1990. SAS User's Guide. Statistics Version 6, 4th ed. Cary, NC, USA.
- Valencia DG, Serrano MP, Centeno C, Lázaro R and Mateos GG 2008a. Pea protein as a substitute of soya bean protein in diets for young pigs: effects on productivity and digestive traits. *Livestock Science* 118, 1–10.
- Valencia DG, Serrano MP, Lázaro R, Latorre MA and Mateos GG 2008b. Influence of micronization (fine grinding) of soya bean meal and fullfat soya bean on productive performance and digestive traits in young pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 147, 340–356.
- Vente-Spreuwerberg MAM, Verdonk JMAJ, Koninkx JFJG, Beynen AC and Verstegen MWA 2004. Dietary protein hydrolysates versus the intact proteins do not enhance mucosal integrity and growth performance in weaned piglets. *Livestock Production Science* 85, 151–164.
- Wondra KJ, Hancock JD, Behnke KC, Hines RH and Stark CR 1995. Effects of particle size and pelleting on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and stomach morphology in finishing pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 73, 757–763.
- Yang YX, Kim YG, Lohakare JD, Yun JH, Lee JK, Kwon MS, Park JI, Choi JY and Chae BJ 2007. Comparative efficacy of different soy protein sources on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and intestinal morphology in weaned pigs. *Asian – Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 20, 775–783.
- Zarkadas LN and Wiseman J 2005. Influence of processing of full fat soya beans included in diets for piglets. 2: Digestibility and intestinal morphology. *Animal Feed Science Technology* 118, 120–137.